# AGENCY ESTIMATE

OF THE FISCAL IMPACT OF IMPLEMENTING

# SB 224 2011 General Session

#### Partisan School Board Elections

Sponsor: Senator Howard A. Stephenson Lead Analyst: Ben Leishman

Agency Contact: Von Hortin 2/24/11 Title: Audit/Finance Specialist

Agency Utah State Office of Education Office: 801-538-7670 Cell: 801-520-6859

If you can check all five boxes to the right, you're almost done. If the bill obviously doesn't have an impact, you're done.

X State agencies will not require an appropriation to implement the bill.

X There is no fiscal impact on local governments.

There is no fiscal impact on businesses

There is no fiscal impact on individuals.

X The bill will not affect revenues.

If it isn't so obvious, explain what's going on. The most usual explanation is the codification of existing practices.

Attachments welcome.

If necessary, explain why this bill has no fiscal impact.

# B. What parts of the bill cause fiscal impact?

Cite specific sections or line numbers.

Lines 13-14 indicate the candidate will be chosen through partisan elections. This would require candidates to go through party election campaigns.

### C. Which program gets the appropriation?

Enter 3 letter Appropriation Unit Code.

For n	ıultipl	e ap	propr	iations
This is		of		

## D. Work Notes: Assumptions, calculations & what are we buying?

Explain the fiscal impact in plain English, detailing your assumptions, methods, & calculations.

List all direct costs. Identify one-time and ongoing costs. Detail FTE impacts.

Do not say, "\$50,000 in Current Expense." Be very specific about what this \$50,000 will buy.

Attachments encouraged.

This bill assumes that candidates would need to go through a county convention and possibly a state one. This estimated cost is for a single candidate and assumes all other costs, such as signage and flyers, would be the same under either system. Booths for a county convention ran \$500 for the last convention and \$1,000 for the last state convention. There are additional costs that can be incurred, but they are the candidates choice so they are not included here.

The party conventions would likely cost \$1,500 per candidate.

E. REVENUES Select Fund	Current Budget Year FY 2011  otal  0	Coming Budget Year FY 2012	Future Budget Year FY 2013	
F. COSTS by FUNI Select Fund	Current Budget Year FY 2011  otal  0	Coming Budget Year FY 2012 0	Future Budget Year FY 2013  0	
Expenses by Category  Personal Services Travel Current Expense DP Current Expense DP Capital Outlay Capital Outlay Other/Pass Thru	CUTTURE CATEGO Current Budget Year FY 2011  otal  0	RY. Coming Budget Year FY 2012  0	Future Budget Year FY 2013	
H. Non-State Impacts Your estimate of how will the bill affect:  Local Governments None				
Businesses	None			
Individuals  State Board of Education candidates would likely incur a cost of \$1,500 per candidate, per election under the partisan election system.  2010 Version 11.09  This is a draft fiscal note response from the Utah State Office of Education (USOE) and may be revised in the future.  Attachments welcome.				